UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,474	02/27/200	4	Kenneth Avicola		8555	
JOHN R. ROSS		07/24/2007	·	EXAMINER		
PO Box 2138		MALLARI, PATRICIA C				
DEL MAR, CA 92014				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3735		
			•			
•				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				07/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/789,474	AVICOLA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Patricia C. Mallari	3735

	Patricia C. Mallari	3735	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendm tice of Appeal (with appeal	nent, affidavit, or other evider fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from th b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WH	e mailing date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		OFD 4 430(a) and the annuaria	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for re- than three months after the m	amount of the fee. The appropreptly originally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (s	a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered b see NOTE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:) ☐ will be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			•
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections unde	er appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims	after entry is below or attack	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the applic	cation in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:		12 2	
•		CHADIES A MARMOR	-

CHARLES A. MARMOR II SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1 and 14 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.